1 2 3	KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKT April E. Isaacson (SBN 180638) aisaacson@kilpatricktownsend.com Two Embarcadero Center, Suite 1900 San Francisco CA 94111 (415) 273 8306	TON LLP
456	Sarah Y. Kamran (SBN 347617) skamran@kilpatricktownsend.com 1801 Century Park East, Suite 2300 Los Angeles CA 90067 (310) 777 3733	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mitchell G. Stockwell (admitted pro hac mstockwell@kilpatricktownsend.com Vaibhav P. Kadaba (admitted pro hac vice wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com Michael J. Turton (admitted pro hac vice) mturton@kilpatricktownsend.com Courtney S. Dabbiere (admitted pro hac vice) cdabbiere@kilpatricktownsend.com Christopher S. Leah (admitted pro hac vice) cleah@kilpatricktownsend.com 1100 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 2800 Atlanta GA 30309 (404) 815 6500	rice)
15 16	Attorneys for Defendants Cox Communications, Inc.; CoxCom, LLC; and Cox Communications California, LLC	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18 19	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
20	ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS,	Case No. 2:23-cv-1043-JWH-KES
21	LLC, Plaintiff,	RELATED CASE: Case No. 2:23-cv-01047-JWH-KES
22 23	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE COX'S NOTICE OF AMENDED
24	COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; COXCOM, LLC; and COX COMMUNICATIONS CALIFORNIA,	NOTICE OF AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS AND OPPOSITION TO MAXLINEAR'S
25	COMMUNICATIONS CALIFORNIA, LLC,	MOTION TO DISMISS
26	Defendants.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
27		1
28		

PROPOSED ORDER RE COX'S NOTICE OF AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS AND OPPOSITION TO MAXLINEAR'S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01043-JWH-KES

COX COMMUNICATIONS, INC., COXCOM, LLC, AND COX COMMUNICATIONS CALIFORNIA, LLC, Counter-Claimants, v. ENTROPIC COMMUNICATIONS, LLC; MAXLINEAR COMMUNICATIONS LLC; AND MAXLINEAR, INC. Counter-Defendants.

PROPOSED ORDER RE COX'S NOTICE OF AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS AND OPPOSITION TO MAXLINEAR'S MOTION TO DISMISS CASE NO. 2:23-CV-01043-JWH-KES

Case 2:23-cv-01043-JWH-KES Document 264-1 Filed 01/09/24 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:10747

1	This matter comes before the Court on Counter-Defendants MaxLinear		
2	Communications LLC and MaxLinear, Inc.'s ("MaxLinear") Motion to Dismiss		
3	Cox's Counterclaims under Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 12(b)(6). Cox has elected to moot this		
4	motion by filing amended counterclaims that further address the issues MaxLinear		
5	raises as well as facts uncovered via further discovery. The Court, having considered		
6	all papers filed and arguments of counsel, finds that there is a good cause for denying		
7	this motion as moot;		
8	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that MaxLinear's Motion is Denied		
9	as Moot.		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
11			
12	DATED:, 2024		
13	Hon. John W. Holcomb		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			